Center for Healthcare Transparency

Subgroup 3: Clinical Data

Suggestion to bring subgroups 3 & 1 together for a robust discussion of the intersections of legal framework and technically viable solutions for data security. Suggestion to have a joint session for Subgroups 2 & 3 to discuss integration of claims and clinical data.

- For each intended use
  - What elements are needed?
  - What elements are needed to validate the data?
    - For example, for validation of data for clinical quality measures, is a range above or below a cut point credible
    - For validation of data for clinical study, would a precise cutpoint be most appropriate?
- Working with entities to obtain consistent clinical data given widely varying levels of sophistication/understanding of persons charged with creating data across different environments
  - Small physician practices
  - Health systems
  - HIEs
  - Clinical Data Registries
  - EHRs in general (How will we incorporate the vendor perspective?)
  - Other
- Standards for data feed (fields, values, periodicity, etc.)
  - What are the applicable national standards, such as
    - Stage 2 Meaningful Use
    - Quality Reporting Document Architecture (QRDA)
  - E.g., how handle lab values that are reported differently
- Technical aspects of meeting data security requirements (connected to discussion with Subgroup 1)
- Standards and processes for assessing accuracy and completeness of data
  - Including documenting and communicating condition of data clearly so users know what the data will and will not support
  - How to interpret lack of data
- Helping stakeholders interpret and use data
- Considerations for claims and clinical data integration
  - What are ways to improve the matching rate?
- Potential impact of emerging technologies